

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-11, 13, 15, 17-24, and 34-105 stand allowed by the Examiner.

I. AMENDMENTS AFTER ALLOWANCE

Applicants respectfully submit this paper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 with claim amendments to ensure consistent claim terminology and to correct certain antecedent basis issues that have been identified. As discussed below, the terminology in the claim amendments is the same or similar to terminology found in multiple allowed claims. As such, Applicants believe that the amended claims are patentable for the same reasons as the allowed claims and that no additional search or examination would be required for entry of this amendment. The corrections to antecedent basis improve the clarity of the amended claims and/or address inconsistently described claim limitations. These issues were not detected during prosecution of the present application.

II. AMENDMENTS

Allowed claims 1, 5, 10, 20, 34, 37, 45, 47, 52, 53, 58, 60-63, 80, 83, 85, 87, 94, 99, and 104 include terminology that relates to movement of a wireless communication device. Allowed claims 11, 15, 21, 68, 72, 74, 76, and 100 include terminology that relates to a detection or determination of movement of the wireless communication device. For consistency, Applicants have amended claims 1, 5, 10, 20, 34, 37, 45, 47, 52, 53, 58, 60-63, 80, 83, 85, 87, 94, 99, and 104 to reflect a detection of movement, as found or, at least, similar to the language of allowed claims 11, 15, 21, 68, 72, 74, 76, and 100.

As one representative example, Applicants propose to amend claim 1 to read, “...the method further comprising detecting movement of the wireless communication device into the second geographic region from the first geographic region” By comparison, allowed claim 11 recites a processor that is “configured to detect movement of the wireless communications device into the second geographic region from the first geographic region.” Allowed claims 5, 15, 21, 68, 72, 74, 76, and 100 are amended to recite the same or similar claim terminology relating to detecting movement. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to enter the

proposed amendments to claims 1, 5, 10, 20, 34, 37, 45, 47, 52, 53, 58, 60-63, 80, 83, 85, 87, 94, 99, and 104 so that this element is described in a consistent manner in the allowed claims.

Claims 10, 20, 94, and 99 also include issues with antecedent bases. Independent claims 1, 5, 11, and 15, from which claims 10, 20, 94, and 99 respectively depend, each introduce “first and second geographic regions.” Applicant requests to amend the antecedent bases in claims 10, 20, 94, and 99 to read “the first geographic region” and “the second geographic region” in order to refer back to original introduction of the claim elements. These amendments serve only to correct the antecedent bases and do not change the scope of the claims in any way. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to enter the proposed amendments to claims 10, 20, 94, and 99.

Claims 9, 19, 24, 93, 98, and 103 include antecedent bases that are unclear. Claims 9, 19, 24, 93, 98, and 103 each introduce a “page” that originates from the first network. However, a “page” from the second network had already been introduced in previous claims. In order to clarify the antecedent basis for claims 9, 19, 24, 93, 98, and 103, Applicant requests to amend these claims to read, a “first network page,” which allows for a more clear description and distinguishes from the previously introduced “page” of the second network. These amendments serve only to clarify the antecedent bases and do not change the scope of the claims in any way. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to enter the proposed amendments to claims 9, 19, 24, 93, 98, and 103.

III. CONCLUSION

If it is determined that a telephone conference would expedite the consideration of this amendment, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office determines that an extension is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. 17-0026 referencing docket no. 030135. Applicant believes no fee is due with this response.

030135

32

However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 17-0026, under Order No. 030135 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated:

Respectfully submitted,

February 17, 2011

By: /Steven Raney/
Steven A. Raney
Registration No. 58,317

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714